

United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 01/29/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/877,728	06/18/1997	HIROTO OKAWARA	35.C12127	6347
5514 7	7590 01/29/2002			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, LUONG TRUNG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2612	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W



Office Action Summary

Application No. **08/877,728**

Applicant(s)

Okawara

Examiner

Luong Nguyen

Art Unit 2612



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 9, 2001 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** 4) X Claim(s) 1-7, 9-38, 40-45, and 47-50 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) ______ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) U Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) X Claim(s) 1-7, 9-38, 40-45, and 47-50 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on ______ is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 20) Other: 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION

Note that this case has been transferred to Examiner Luong Nguyen, Group Art Unit
 2612.

Continued Prosecution Application

2. The request filed on 11/09/2001 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 08/877,728 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on 10/09/2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant's traversal of the objection to the drawings is not deemed to be persuasive. The specification clearly identifies the material shown therein to be "conventional" (see page 1, lines 9-12, page 3, lines 21-22, page 6, lines 23-24). The drawings are objected to Figures 1-5, 12-13, 14A-14B should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).

Art Unit: 2612

In re page 18, Applicant argues that Sato et al. fail to teach the feature of a camera part receiving a response characteristic from a lens part to store the received characteristic in a memory of the lens part.

In response, regarding claims 14, 17, note that this feature is not recited in these claims, the memory is in the camera part, not in the lens part. Further, the Applicant amended claims 14, 17 with the claim limitation "storing means, provided in said camera part, for storing information of the response characteristic transmitted from the lens part." The Examiner considers that these claims as amended still do not distinguish over Sato et al. patent. Sato et al. disclose CPU 5 stores information of the response characteristic transmitted from the lens part because it generates a control signal to drive the lenses in response to the operation of the zoom ring, column 4, lines 26-41).

In re page 19, Applicant argues that Sato et al. is not seen to teach or suggest changing the sensitivity of a lens driving in repsonse to an operation of a ring member according to a photographing state.

In response, regarding claim 48, note that this feature is not recited in claim 48, the Applicant amended the claim with the claim limitation "change means for changing a sensitivity of the motion of the magnification lens group relative to a detection result of said detection means in accordance with a photographing state," which Sato et al. disclose in column 5, lines 10-19, column 7, lines 19-26, column 8, lines 31-46).

Art Unit: 2612

In re page 20, Applicant argues that Takahashi arrangement is not seen as teaching or suggesting in any manner the feature of claim 44 of changing a reference amount of rotation of a ring member to permit and inhibit motion of a lens group.

In response, regarding claim 44, the Applicant amended claim 44 with the claim limitation "change a reference amount of a rotation of said ring member for permitting/inhibiting the motion of the magnification lens group." The Examiner considers that these claims as amended still do not distinguish over Takahashi patent. Takahashi discloses that the rotation speed of the PZ motor 34 will be changed so as to properly adjust the zooming speed (column 10, lines 1-11).

In re page 22, Applicants argues that neither the Kawanami disclosure nor the Shimizu disclosure suggests in any manner using the display of a camera unit to set a function of a lens unit as in claim 1.

In response, regarding claim 1, note that the feature "camera unit" is not recited in claim

1. The applicant amended claim 1 with the claim limitation "display means provided in said image pickup apparatus." The Examiner considers that the claim as amended still do not distinguish over Kawanami patent in view of Shimizu patent. Kawanami discloses display device 21 is provided within camera body 3 (figure 2, column 10-17).

In re pages 23-24, Applicant argues that Haraguchi et al. do not suggest the feature of claim 42 of inhibiting a magnification lens from stopping for a predetermined period even if rotation of the ring member is not detected.

Art Unit: 2612

In response, regarding claim 42, the Applicant amended claim 42 with the claim limitation "inhibition means for inhibiting the magnification lens to stop during a predetermined period in the state that said detection means does not detect the amount of rotation." The Examiner considers that the claim as amended still do not distinguish over Takahashi patent in view of Haraguchi et al. patent. Haraguchi et al. disclose by having zoom motor 5 continue to rotate in the reverse direction for t msec after detection of POS=9, and by thereafter rotating motor 5 in the forward direction, motor 5 can be stopped precisely at POS=A under the condition that backlash on the forward rotation side is removed (column 23, line 63 through column 24, line 2).

Drawings

4. Figures 1-5, 12, 13, 14A, 14B should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 08/877,728

Art Unit: 2612

6. Claims 14-37, 40-41, 48 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sato et al. (US 5,648,836).

Page 6

Regarding claim 14, Sato et al. disclose an image pickup apparatus having a camera part (see figure 1 and note that it is inherent that a camera part is present in Sato et al. since a picture can be taken by the device, and there is a CPU 5, a multipurpose operation part 21 and a selecting means 22 that enables the user to make selections of a driving mode as desired, column 5, lines 16-19, these items inherently residing within a camera part of some kind), and a lens part with a magnification lens and a ring member that drives the lens part (see figure 1), comprising a detection means (2) which detects a change amount of rotation of the ring member (1) for driving the lens part (column 4, lines 25-39), and a control means (5) for selecting and determining a response characteristic (column 5, lines 10-19) between an output of said detection means and a motion of the magnification lens, and for controlling motion/stop of at least the magnification lens along an optical axis in accordance with an output of said detection means; and storing means (CPU, 5), provided in said camera part, for storing information of the response characteristic transmitted from the lens part (the CPU 5 stores information of the response characteristic from the lens part because it generates a control signal to drive the lenses in response to the operation of the zoom ring, column 4, lines 26-41).

Regarding claim 15, Sato et al. disclose that the plurality of characteristics of the control means include a first characteristic for controlling a motion amount of the magnification lens per unit rotation of the ring member to be constant (column 5, lines 37-43) and a second

Art Unit: 2612

characteristic for controlling a motion speed of the magnification lens to be variable in accordance with a rotation speed of the ring member (column 5, line 62 through column 6, line 10).

Regarding claim 16, Sato et al. disclose that the plurality of characteristics of the control means include a first characteristic for controlling a motion amount of the magnification lens per unit rotation of the ring member to become a first predetermined amount and a second characteristic for controlling a motion amount of the magnification lens per unit rotation of the ring member to become a second predetermined amount different from the first predetermined amount (column 6, lines 49-63).

As to claim 17, see Examiner's comments regarding claim 14 and note that Sato et al. disclose that the plurality of characteristics are settable by a user (column 5, lines 10-19, column 7, lines 19-26, column 8, lines 31-46).

As to claim 18, see Examiner's comments regarding claim 15.

As to claim 19, Sato et al. disclose that the characteristic of the control means is changed in accordance with the state of an operation switch capable of being operated by a user (column 5, lines 10-19; column 7, lines 19-26; column 8, lines 41-46).

As to claim 20, Sato et al. disclose that the characteristic of the control means is changed in accordance with information of the characteristic of the control means set by a user (column 5, lines 10-19; column 7, lines 19-26; column 8, lines 41-46).

As to claim 21, Sato et al. disclose that the characteristic of the control means is changed in accordance with a photographing state (column 8, lines 31-46).

Art Unit: 2612

As to claims 22 and 24, see Examiner's comments regarding claim 16.

As to claims 23 and 25, see Examiner's comments regarding claim 19.

As to claim 26, see Examiner's comments regarding claim 14 and note that Sato et al. disclose the ring member as disposed concentrically about a lens optical axis (figure 1), and also disclose an outputting means for outputting information of the response characteristic from said lens unit to storing means in said main body (inherent in the operation within CPU 5 since once the characteristic has been determined must be stored in order to provide the drive signal for the lens).

As to claim 27, see Examiner's comments regarding claim 15.

As to claim 28, see Examiner's comments regarding claim 16.

As to claim 29, see Examiner's comments regarding claim 26 and note that Sato et al. disclose a setting means for a user to set the characteristic of said control means (column 5, lines 10-19; column 7, lines 19-26; column 8, lines 41-46).

As to claim 30, see Examiner's comments regarding claim 15.

Regarding claim 31, Sato et al. disclose an operation switch capable of being operated upon by a user and change means for changing the characteristic of the control means in accordance with a state of the operation switch (column 5, lines 10-19; column 7, lines 19-26; column 8, lines 41-46).

Art Unit: 2612

Regarding claim 32, Sato et al. disclose that change means changes the characteristic of the control means in accordance with information of the characteristic of the control means set by a user (column 5, lines 10-19; column 7, lines 19-26; column 8, lines 41-46).

Regarding claim 33, Sato et al. disclose that change means changes the characteristic of the control means in accordance with a photographing state (column 8, lines 31-46).

As to claim 34, see Examiner's comments regarding claim 16.

As to claim 35, see Examiner's comments regarding claim 31.

As to claim 36, see Examiner's comments regarding claim 32.

As to claim 37, see Examiner's comments regarding claim 33.

Regarding claims 40 and 41, Sato et al. disclose that the ring member is disposed concentrically about the lens group (figure 1).

Regarding claim 48, Sato et al. disclose an image pickup apparatus comprising a ring member (1) disposed concentrically about a lens optical axis (figure 1), detection means (2) for detecting a change amount of a rotation of the ring member, a control means for determining motion direction and speed of a magnification lens group in accordance with an output from the detection means and performing motion/stop control of the magnification lens group along the optical axis (column 4, lines 25-41), and a change means for changing a sensitivity of the motion of the magnification lens group relative to a detection result of the detection means in accordance with a photographing state (column 5, lines 10-19; column 7, lines 19-26; column 8, lines 31-46).

Art Unit: 2612

Regarding claim 50, Sato et al. disclose that the change means changes the motion speed of the magnification lens group relative to an output of the detection means (column 7, lines 19-26).

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 44-45 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takahashi (US 5,159,370).

Regarding claim 44, Takahashi discloses an image pickup apparatus comprising a ring member (51) disposed concentrically about a lens optical axis of a lens unit, a detection means for detecting a change amount of rotation of the ring member (column 9, lines 1-14), a control means for determining motion direction and speed of a magnification lens group in accordance with an output of the detection means and performing motion/stop control of the magnification lens group along the optical axis (column 9, lines 15-49), and a change means for changing a sensitivity of the motion of the magnification lens group relative to a detection result of said detection means (column 9, lines 21-29) so as to change a reference amount of said ring member for permitting/inhibiting the motion of the magnification lens group (column 10, lines 1-11).

Art Unit: 2612

Regarding claim 45, Takahashi discloses that the lens group is removably and exchangeably mounted on a main body of the image pickup apparatus (column 2, line 46).

Regarding claim 47, Takahashi discloses that the change means changes the motion speed of the magnification lens group relative to an output of the detection means (column 9, lines 21-29).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 1-7 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawanami (US 5,278,601) in view of (US 5,485,200).

Regarding claim 1, Kawanami disclose an image pickup apparatus having a lens group, comprising a ring member (51, figure 5) for driving a lens (52), a detection means (55, 56) for detecting a change amount of rotation of the ring member, a control means (59) for performing motion/stop control of the lens group along an optical axis in accordance with a detection result by the detection means; and motion direction setting means (63) for a user to set a desired motion direction of the lens group relative to the rotation direction ring member, wherein the motion direction setting means comprises character generator, menu setting means, display means (21), a

Art Unit: 2612

menu function control unit for controlling the character generator in accordance with the operation state of the menu setting means, and for displaying a predetermined menu on a display screen of the display means (column 3, lines 15-17).

Kawanami does not disclose that the menu setting means is operated by and does not disclose a setting means for selecting a desired setting item among a plurality of items displayed on the predetermined menu and setting a condition regarding the motion direction of the lens group. However, Shimizu discloses a menu displayed on a display screen as a setting means to permit a user of a camera to operate thereupon to select various camera operating conditions from among a plurality of items displayed on a predetermined menu, such as the speed at which the focal length of the camera lens is moved when a zooming operation is performed (column 5, lines 36-46). Using a displayed menu for selecting a camera's operating settings makes the camera easier to operate by reducing the number of operation switches (column 1, lines 18-28; column 1, line 65 through column 2, line 3). In view of the teaching in Shimizu, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the menu of Kawanami so as to serve as a setting means operated upon by a user for selecting a desired setting time among a plurality of items displayed on the predetermined menu and setting a condition regarding the motion direction of the lens group in order to reduce the number of operating switches and make the camera easier to use.

Regarding claim 2, Kawanami and Shimizu disclose that the lens group includes a magnification lens (Kawanami; 52) and the motion direction setting means comprises an operation

Art Unit: 2612

switch (Kawanami; 63) capable of being operated by a user, and a change means (Kawanami; 64, 59) for changing the motion direction of the lens group relative to the rotation direction of the ring member in accordance with the operation of the operation switch (Kawanami; column 5, lines 20-65).

Regarding claim 3, Kawanami and Shimizu discloses that a lens unit is made removable relative to the main body of the image pickup apparatus (Kawanami; column 5, lines 25).

Regarding claim 4, Kawanami and Shimizu discloses that ring member is disposed concentrically about an optical axis of the lens group (Kawanami; figure 5).

Regarding claim 5, Kawanami and Shimizu discloses that the lens group includes a magnification lens (Kawanami; 52) and the motion direction setting means comprises memory means (Kawanami; 64) for storing motion direction information of the lens group relative to the rotation of the ring member, the motion direction being given by a user (Kawanami; switch 63), and a change means (Kawanami; 64, 59) for changing the motion direction of lens group in accordance with the motion direction information stored in the memory means.

Regarding claims 6 and 11, Kawanami and Shimizu discloses that a lens unit is made removable relative to the main body of the image pickup apparatus (Kawanami; column 5, lines 25).

Regarding claims 7, 12 and 13, Kawanami and Shimizu discloses that ring member is disposed concentrically about an optical axis of the lens group (Kawanami; figure 5).

Application/Control Number: 08/877,728

Art Unit: 2612

Regarding claim 9, Kawanami and Shimizu discloses that a lens unit is made removable relative to the main body of the image pickup apparatus (Kawanami; column 5, lines 25).

Page 14

Regarding claim 10, Kawanami and Shimizu discloses that ring member is disposed concentrically about an optical axis of the lens group (Kawanami; figure 5).

11. Claims 38 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato et al. (US 5,648,836) in view of Kawanami (US 5,278,601).

Regarding claim 38, Sato et al. disclose all of the limitations except that of the lens unit being removably mounted. However, Kawanami teaches that such a design is well known in the art (column 1, lines 19-22). Enabling the lens unit of Sato et al. to be removably would clearly increase the utility of the device by permitting the use of other zoom lens units thereby providing a greater variety of zooming options. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make the lens unit in Sato et al. removably mounted in order to increase the utility of the device by providing a greater variety of zooming options.

Regarding claim 49, Sato et al. disclose all of the limitations except that of the lens unit being removably and exchangeably mounted. However, Kawanami teaches that such a design is well known in the art (column 1, lines 19-22). Enabling the lens unit of Sato et al. to be removably would clearly increase the utility of the device by permitting the use of other zoom lens units thereby providing a greater variety of zooming options. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make the lens unit in Sato

Art Unit: 2612

et al. removably mounted in order to increase the utility of the device by providing a greater variety of zooming options.

12. Claims 42-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahashi (US 5,159,370) in view of Haraguchi et al. (US 5,475,456).

Regarding claim 42, Takahashi discloses an image pickup apparatus comprising a ring member (51) disposed concentrically about a lens optical axis of a lens unit, a detection means for detecting a change amount of rotation of the ring member (column 9, lines 1-14), a control means for determining motion direction and speed of a magnification lens group in accordance with an output of the detection means and performing motion/stop control of the magnification lens group along the optical axis (column 9, lines 15-49).

Takahashi does not disclose an inhibition means for inhibiting the magnification lens to stop during a predetermined period in the state that said detection means does not detect the amount of rotation. However, Haraguchi et al. disclose inhibiting a magnification lens from stopping during a predetermined period after a stop command has been issued so that the lens can be stopped more precisely at a desired terminal position (column 23, line 63 through column 24, line 2). In view of the teaching in Haraguchi et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide in Takahashi an inhibition means for inhibiting the magnification lens to stop during a predetermined period in the state that said

Art Unit: 2612

detection means does not detect the amount of rotation in order to more precisely perform the stopping operation.

Regarding claim 43, Takahashi discloses that the lens unit is removably and exchangeably mounted on a main body of the image pickup apparatus (column 2, line 46).

Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Luong Nguyen** whose telephone number is (703) 308-9297. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Wendy Garber**, can be reach on (703) 305-4929.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: (703) 872 - 9314

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

LN LN 1/26/2002

WENDY R. GARBER
SUPERVISORY RATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600